

Student Feedback Form

Feedback form 2022 - 2023

* Required

||Jai Sri Gurudev||

SRI ADI CHUNCHANAGIRI WOMEN'S COLLEGE, CUMBUM



(Accredited by NAAC with 'A' Grade)
(Run by Sri Adi Chunchanagiri Shikshana Trust @ Karnataka)
(Affiliated to Mother Teresa Women's University, Kodaikanal)
(Recognised Under Section 2(f) & 12(B) of UGC Act.1956)



INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL

1. Name *


2. Class / Department *

3. Semester *

Questionnaire 1

Student Feedback Form I. Curriculam

Students are required to rate your respective course on the following attributes using the 4-point scale shown.



4. 1. Depth of the course content including project work if any *

Mark only one oval.

Very Good

Good

Satisfactory

Unsatisfactory

5. 2. Extent of course coverage *

Mark only one oval.

Very Good

Good

Satisfactory

Unsatisfactory

6. 3. Applicability / relevance of course to real life situation *

Mark only one oval.

Very Good

Good

Satisfactory

Unsatisfactory

7. 4. Learning acquisition (in terms of knowledge, concepts, manual skills, analytical abilities and broadening perspectives) *

Mark only one oval.

Very Good

Good

Satisfactory

Unsatisfactory

8. 5. Clarity and relevance of textual & learning material of the course *

Mark only one oval.

Very Good

Good

Satisfactory

Unsatisfactory

9. 6. Relevance of other source materials (library) of the course *

Mark only one oval.

Very Good

Good

Satisfactory

Unsatisfactory

10. 7. Extent of effort required for students *

Mark only one oval.

Very Good

Good

Satisfactory

Unsatisfactory

Questionnaire No. 2

II. Student overall evaluation on Teaching & Learning

11. 1. The syllabus of each course was *

Mark only one oval.

Adequate

Inadequate

Challenging

Dull

12. 2. Benefits of the course *

Mark only one oval.

More than adequate

Adequate Inadequate

Cannot say

13. 3. Was the course easy or difficult to understand? *

Mark only one oval.

- Easy
- Manageable
- Difficult Very
- difficult

14. 4. How much of the syllabus was covered in classroom teaching? *

Mark only one oval.

- 85 to 100%
- 70 to 85%
- 55 to 70%
- Less than 55%

15. 5. The library materials and facilities for your course. *

Mark only one oval.

- More than adequate
- Adequate Inadequate
- Very poor
-

16. 6. To what extent were you able to get materials for your prescribed syllabus? *

Mark only one oval.

- More than adequate
- Adequate Inadequate
- Very poor
-

17. 7. How well did the teacher prepare for the classes? *

Mark only one oval.

- Thoroughly
- Satisfactorily
- Poorly
- Indifferently

18. 8. How well was the teacher able to communicate? *

Mark only one oval.

- Always effective
- Sometimes effectiveJust
- satisfactorily Generally
- ineffective

19. 9. How far the teacher encouraged your classroom participation? *

Mark only one oval.

Very Effectively

Always

Sometimes Not

at all

20. 10. Classroom participation method that you like *

Mark only one oval.

Raising Questions

Peer Discussion

Play-way method

Group Analysis

21. 11. How helpful was the teacher in improving your learning process? *

Mark only one oval.

- Very helpful
- Sometimes helpful
- Always indifferent
- Did not advise

22. 12. The teacher's approach can best be described as *

Mark only one oval.

- Always courteous
- Sometimes rude
- Always indifferent
- Need to improve

23. 13. Internal assessment was *

Mark only one oval.

- Very Good
- Good
- Satisfactory
- Unsatisfactory

24. 14. What effect do you think the internal assessment had on your course grade? *

Mark only one oval.

- Helped to improve
- Discouraging
- No special effect
- Sometimes effective

25. 15. How often did you think the internal assessment had its impact on your degree assessment? *

Mark only one oval.

- Regularly
- Sometimes
- Seldom Never
-

26. 16. How about your assignment discussion with the teacher? *

Mark only one oval.

- Always discussed Partially
- discussed Sometimes
- discussed Not discussed at
- all

27. 17. Seminars / Workshops / Guest Lectures arranged by the department. *

Mark only one oval.

Extra ordinary

Useful

Adequate

Inadequate

28. 18.Suggestion for the improvement of teaching - learning process. *

Questionnaire No. 3

Student Feedback on Teachers

29. 1. Knowledge base of the teachers (as perceived by you) *

Mark only one oval.

Very Good

Good

Satisfactory

Unsatisfactory

30. 2. Communication Skills (in terms of articulation and comprehensibility) *

Mark only one oval.

Very Good

Good

Satisfactory

Unsatisfactory

31. 3. Sincerity / Commitment of the teacher *

Mark only one oval.

Very Good

Good

Satisfactory

Unsatisfactory

32. 4. Interest created by the teacher *

Mark only one oval.

- Very Good
- Good
- Satisfactory
- Unsatisfactory

33. 5. Ability to integrate course material with environment/other issues. *

Mark only one oval.

- Very Good
- Good
- Satisfactory
- Unsatisfactory

34. 6. Ability to integrate content with other courses *

Mark only one oval.

- Very Good
- Good
- Satisfactory
- Unsatisfactory

35. 7. Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class *

Mark only one oval.

- Very Good
- Good
- Satisfactory
- Unsatisfactory

36. 8. Ability to design quizzes /Tests /assignments / examinations and projects to evaluate the students. *

Mark only one oval.

- Very Good
- Good
- Satisfactory
- Unsatisfactory

37. 9. Provision of sufficient time for feedback *

Mark only one oval.

- Very Good
- Good
- Satisfactory
- Unsatisfactory

Questionnaire No.. 4

Student Feedback on Infrastructure

38. 1. Class Room *

Mark only one oval.

Ample Sufficient

Can be adjusted

Lacking

39. 2. Seminar Hall *

Mark only one oval.

Ample

Sufficient

Can be adjusted

Lacking

40. 3. ICT Facility *

Mark only one oval.

Ample Sufficient

Can be adjusted

Lacking

41. 4. (a) General Digital Library *

Mark only one oval.

Ample

Sufficient

Can be adjusted

Lacking

42. (b) Department Library *

Mark only one oval.

- Ample
- Sufficient
- Can be adjusted
- Lacking

43. 5. Laboratories *

Mark only one oval.

- Ample
- Sufficient
- Can be adjusted
- Lacking

44. 6. Furniture *

Mark only one oval.

- Ample
- Sufficient
- Can be adjusted
- Lacking

45. 7. Canteen *

Mark only one oval.

- Ample
- Sufficient
- Can be adjusted
- Lacking


46. 8. Suggestions, if any *

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms

I STUDENTSFEEDBACK

QUESTIONNAIRE1:CURRICULUM



Parameters:

A-Depth of the course content including project work if any

B-Extent of course coverage

C-Applicability/relevance of course to real life situation

D-Learning acquisition (interms of knowledge, concepts, manual skills\analytical abilities and broadening perspectives)

E-Clarity and relevance of textual & learning material of the course


F-Relevance of other source materials(library)of the course

G-Extent of effort required for students.

Almost all the parameters' overall rating fell between very good and good. As per the rating provided by the students, 100% of the students were satisfied with curriculum.

QUESTIONNAIRE2:TEACHING,LEARNINGANDEVALUATION

STUDENT FEEDBACK




Parameters:

- The syllabus of each course was
- Library
- Classroom participation method
- Internal assessment

96% students are satisfied with the teaching & Learning process and the Assessment system of the University 4% of students are still not satisfied.

QUESTIONNAIRE3: STUDENTFEEDBACKONTEACHERS



Parameters:

A-Knowledge base of the teachers(as perceived by you).

B-Communication Skills (in terms of articulation and comprehensibility).

C-Sincerity/Commitment of the teacher.

D-Interest created by the teacher.

E-Ability to integrate course material with environment/ other issues.

F-Ability to integrate E-content with other courses.


G-Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class.

H-Ability to design quizzes/Tests/assignments/examinations and projects to evaluate the students.

I-Provision of sufficient time for feedback.

99% of students are satisfied with the teaching methodologies of the faculty members. And to satisfy the 1% of unsatisfied students the faculty members are being advised to monitor the teaching learning process for further improvement.

QUESTIONNAIRE4: STUDENTFEEDBACKONINFRASTRUCTURE



Parameters:

- A - Class Room
- B-Seminar Hall
- C-ICT Facility
- D-General Digital Library
- E-Department Library
- F-Laboratories
- G-Furniture
- H-Canteen

99% of students are satisfied with the Physical facilities. 1% students expecting the infrastructure of the institution is updated with latest facilities.